

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/909,715	COX, BRIAN J.	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Darwin P. Erezo.

(3) ____.

(2) Shane Swanson.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 26 March 2009

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

81

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 81 is to be amended as provided in the Examiner's Amendment to overcome a 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejection. The withdrawn claims are also to be cancelled.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Darwin P. Erezo/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3773

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)